



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/670,568	09/27/2000	Yoji Ikawa	Q61014	5597
75	590 03/12/2002			
Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037-3213			DAVIS, NATALIE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	17
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Annication No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Symmony		Application No.				
		09/670,568	IKAWA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Natalie A. Davis	1642			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	lears on the cover sheet w	iti tile correspondence address			
THE - External after of the control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 L	<u>December 2001</u> .				
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is			
•	closed in accordance with the practice under tion of Claims		D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,16 and 19-21</u> is/are pending					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1,3,16 and 19-21</u> is/are allowed.					
• —	Claim(s) 2 and 5-8 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
• •	ion Papers	_				
′—	The specification is objected to by the Examine		the Everiner			
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accept					
141	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on					
' ' '			disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☑ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
,	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arriiror.				
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	nriority under 35 H.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
-	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for loreign All b) Some * c) None of:	i priority under 55 5.5.5.	3 110(4) (4) 51 (1).			
a)	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document:	s have been received				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		Application No.			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
* (application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	* *				
Attachmer	nt(s)					
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	T11-0/5					

Application/Control Number: 09/670,568

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment and request for corrected filing receipt filed 11 December 2001 (Paper No: 16) is acknowledged. Accordingly, claims 1-3, 5-8, and 16 are amended, claims 4, 9-15, and 18 are cancelled, and claims 19-21 are new. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 16, and 19-21 are pending.

Response to Arguments

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Oath/Declaration

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

The oath or declaration is defective because: it does not claim priority to PCT/JP99/01512, as is required for a National Stage 35 U.S.C. § 371 application.

Priority

1. Applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 371 is acknowledged, but applicant has not complied with one or more conditions for receiving the benefit of an earlier filing date, as indicated above. Accordingly, the response to the amendment has been rendered as if priority has been granted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 2nd Withdrawn

2. Rejection of claims 15-16 under 35 U.S.C.112, second paragraph is withdrawn in view of arguments and cancellation of claim 15.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 1st Withdrawn

3. Rejection of claims 1-2, 4-8, and 15-17 under 35 U.S.C.112, first paragraph is withdrawn in view of arguments and cancellation of claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/670,568

Art Unit: 1642

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 Withdrawn

4. Rejection of claims 1-2, 4-8, and 15-18 over Yang, et al. (1998), McKeon, et al. (1999), and Osada, et al. (1998) under 35 U.S.C. 102(b,e) is withdrawn in view of priority to PCT/JP99/01512, filed 24 March 1999 and foreign application JAPAN 10/100467, filed 27 March 1998.

New Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claims 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. The instant specification does not contain a written description of the invention in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms or in sufficient detail that one skilled in the art can reasonably conclude that applicant had possession of the claimed invention at the time of filing.

5,8

Vas-Cath Inc. v. Mahurkar (CA FC) 19 USPQ2d 1111 (6/7/1991) clearly states that "written description" of invention required by first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 is separate and distinct from that paragraph's requirement of enabling disclosure, since description must do more than merely provide explanation of how to "make and use" invention; applicant must also convey, with reasonable clarity to those skilled in art, that applicant, as of filing date sought, was in possession of invention, with invention being, for purposes of "written description" inquiry, whatever is presently claimed. An applicant shows possession by describing the claimed invention with all it limitations using such descriptive means as words, structures, diagrams, and formulas. Also, description of an actual reduction to practice, or by showing the invention was "ready for patenting," or by describing distinguishing identifying characteristics sufficient to show that the applicant was in possession of the claimed invention at the time of filing.

The claims are drawn to an isolated DNA molecule, which hybridizes under stringent conditions to 1-2186 and 145-1488 nucleotides of DEQ ID NO: 2, a primer and a probe comprising a DNA molecule, which hybridizes under stringent conditions to 1-2186.

There is no descriptive information, such as how the DNA molecule hybridizes to nucleotides 1-2186 and 145-1488 of SEQ ID NO: 2, whether or not it hybridizes to every nucleotide and if not which nucleotides the DNA hybridizes to. There is no detailed description of the function of the DNA in claims 5-6 indicating that the claimed DNA was indeed identified,

drof

Application/Control Number: 09/670,568

Art Unit: 1642

isolated, and assayed for use. Thus, one skilled in the art would not recognize from the disclosure that the applicant was in possession of the DNA.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 2 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fu, et al. (1995).

Claims 5-8 are anticipated as Fu, et al., disclose PCR primers and probes, which hybridize under stringent conditions to DNA molecules. It is inherent that the primers and probes set forth hybridize to nucleotides 1-2186 and 145-1488 of SEQ ID NO: 2, since hybridization requires the pairing of a single nucleotide base of two nucleic acid strands which are complementary. Likewise, claim 2 is anticipated since a PCR primer (as taught by Fu) may control transcription by binding to the transcriptional start site and inhibiting the start of transcription. Accordingly, the invention is anticipated as claimed.

drop

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Natalie A. Davis whose telephone number is 703-308-6410. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5:30 (every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa PhD can be reached on 703-308-3995. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4315 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Natalie A. Davis, PhD March 5, 2002

ANTHONY C. CAPUTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600